IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 11TH MAY 2007 / 21ST VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 15008 of 2007(J)

PETITIONER:

C.J. JOSEPH, AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O. JOSEPH, MOOLAMPARAYIL, KAKKAD P.O.,
KAKKAVAYAL, PUTHUPPADY, KOZHIKODE (RETIRED STORE-ISSUER, K.S.R.T.C., THAMARASSERY).

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.SOMAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT P.O.,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

2. THE FINANCIAL ADVISER & CHIEF ACCOUNTS OFFICER, KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

3. THE ASSISTANT ACCOUNTS OFFICER(PENSION), KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT P.O.,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

4. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER, K.S.R.T.C., THAMARASSERY.

BY S.C., K.S.R.T.C. Sri. Johnson P. John

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Antony Dominic, J.

==========

W.P.(C)No.15008 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 11th day of May, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is a retired employee of the Kerala State Road Transport Corporation. Although he is getting monthly pension, the terminal benefits such as DCRG, etc. were not sanctioned or paid so far. This Court had considered this issue and had issued certain guidelines in the judgment in W.A.No.289 of 2001 and it is stated that the petitioner is waiting in the queue for payment. The petitioner states that for solemnizing the marriage of his daughter, which is scheduled on 14.5.2007, he is in urgent need of money and that in the circumstances, a departure has to be made and the respondents should be directed to pay him the dues on a priority basis.

2. Taking into account the fact that the petitioner's daughter's wedding is scheduled on 14.5.2007 as is evidenced from Ext.P2, copy of the wedding invitation, I feel that this is a fit case for departure to be made from the priority followed by the respondents. I also take note of Ext.P3 judgment rendered in identical circumstances.

3. In the circumstances as stated above, the respondents are

directed to release the amounts due to the petitioner towards his D.C.R.G. at the earliest, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner is directed to produce a copy of this judgment before the concerned respondent for compliance.

Antony Dominic
Judge.

ess 11/5